



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/655,841	9/6/00	Ramin et al.	05725.0747-00

EXAMINER	
S. Howard	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	9

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. Howard (3) G. Kishore  
(2) Carol Cole (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 4-30-02

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: claims on record

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney discussed the

nature of the product claimed; i.e., glass particles coated with metals. It would appear that although  
the two JP publications disclose these particles, they are directed to either "weather-resistant" or  
"car coating" and therefore could be "non-analogous art". This will be determined after reviewing the English  
translations. Schmid teaches which silicon-oxide coated with metals for cosmetic applications appears  
to be the closest reference. Since the application is under final the course of next action will be  
determined after reviewing the translations which will be submitted  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Gellamudi S. Kishore, PhD  
Primary Examiner  
Group 1500

Sharon Howard  
Examiner's Signature